



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/663,320	09/15/2000	Gavin C. Hirst	BBC-081/A	3710
;	7590 10/26/2005		EXAMINER	
GAYLE B. O'BRIEN			KIFLE, BRUCK	
ABBOTT BIO	RESEARCH CENTER CH DRIVE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WORCESTER	k, MA 01605-4314		1624	
				_

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/663,320	HIRST ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624					
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 O</u>	ctoher 2005						
	action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	· —						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	x parto Quayio, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	.0 0.0. 210.					
<u> </u>							
4) Claim(s) 1-32 and 52-88 is/are pending in the a	• •						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6) Claim(s) 1-32 and 52-88 is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/06/05 has been entered.

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 10/06/05 have been received and reviewed.

Claims 1-32 and 52- 88 are now pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-32 and 52-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding the definition of Z^{110} , Z^{111} , Z^{105} , Z^{101} (7 occurrences) and Z^{200} which is simply defined as " (C_1-C_6) ," The basis of this rejection is the same as given in the previous office action and is incorporated herein fully by reference. The definition in the specification is non-limiting because of the term "include." One skilled in the art cannot say what else is included. Applicants cannot rely on a prefix for a definition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-32 and 52-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Altmann et a. (WO 97/49706). The basis of this rejection is the same as given in the previous office actions and is incorporated herein fully by reference. The reference teaches a generic

group of substituted 7-amino-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine derivatives, which embraces instantly claimed compounds. The basis of this rejection is the same as given in the previous office action and is incorporated herein fully by reference.

The point applicants make is that the closest case law in the instant case is <u>In re Baird</u>. However, the instant rejection is closer to <u>Susi</u> than <u>Baird</u> because in Baird the thrust of the invention of the prior art was away from bisphenol-A. In the instant case the difference is not nearly as great, and, thus, is a closer fact situation than in <u>Baird</u> and closer to <u>Susi</u>.

Applicants had previously submitted copies of several related applications. Applicants are required to maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822. Applicants need to state what the differences in scope are between the instant application and these related applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose-telephone number is 571-272-0668. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesdays to Fridays between 8:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/663,320

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624 Page 4

BK

October 21, 2005